

## **Abstract**

Schemes – State Schemes – "Kalaignar Veettu Vasathi Thittam" (KVVT) – Guidelines issued for the implementation of entire duration of the Scheme – Amendment to the Guidelines – Orders - Issued.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Rural Development and Panchayat Raj (SGS.1) Department

G.O.(Ms.) No.74

**ORDER:** 

Dated: 16.07.2010

Read:

- 1) G.O.(Ms.) No.68, Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department, dated 14.06.2010.
- 2) From the Director of Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Letter No. 16263/KVVT/2010, dated 15.7.2010.

In the Government Order first read above, orders along with detailed Guidelines were issued for the implementation for "Kalaignar Veettu Vasathi Thittam" (KVVT) for the entire duration of the Scheme. The Director of Rural Development and Panchayat Raj in his letter second read above has sent a proposal to amend the existing paragraph 5 (iii) of the guidelines regarding the mode of selection of beneficiaries in Village Panchayat habitations bearing multiple sequences of Door Numbers – street-wise or ward-wise or locality-wise.

2) The Government have examined the proposal of the Director of Rural Development and Panchayat Raj and decided to accept the same. Accordingly, the Government order that the existing paragraph 5 (iii) of the Guidelines issued in the Annexure to G.O.(Ms.) No.68, Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department, dated 14.06.2010 shall be replaced with the new paragraph 5 (iii), as in the Annexure to this order.

(By order of the Governor)

K.ASHOK VARDHAN SHETTY, Principal Secretary to Government

To

The Director of Rural Development and Panchayat Raj, Chennai- 15. All District Collectors.

//Forwarded by order //

## **ANNEXURE**

## <u>AMENDMENT</u>

The existing paragraph 5 (iii) of the Guidelines issued in the Annexure to the G.O.(Ms). 68, RD & PR (SGS-1) Department, dated 14.06.2010, is replaced with the following:

- **5.** (iii). It is possible that in some habitations there may be **multiple sequences** of door numbers within a habitation for the following reasons:
  - a) The door numbering has been done street-wise, with the New Door Numbers starting from 1 (to the last door number in the street) for each street/locality in the habitation.
  - b) The door numbering has been done ward-wise/locality-wise, with the New Door Numbers starting from 1 (to the last door number in the ward) for each ward/locality.

In both scenarios above, the procedure prescribed in para 5 (ii) above, i.e., 'in ascending order of New Door Numbers' should be followed in a modified manner as below:

"Within each habitation where the beneficiaries belonging to a particular community have to be selected, they shall be chosen in the ascending order of New Door Numbers, *irrespective of the street/ward/locality in which the huts are located.* The New Door Numbers as found in the May 2009 Electoral Rolls (which have been filled up in Column 5 of the Enumeration Register) shall be used for this purpose."

**Illustration 4:** Habitation 'X' has 20 eligible SC huts, distributed across 3 Streets as shown below –

Street Name	No. of eligible SC Huts	New Door numbers of the eligible huts
Street A	7	1,4,8,10,12,14,17
Street B	8	1,2,4,8,11,17,19,21
Street C	5	2,5,11,12,14

The SC allocation for this habitation is, say, 6 permanent houses for 2010-11.

The 6 huts will be selected in the ascending order of New Door Numbers, irrespective of the Street in which they are located, as follows:

First, the 2 huts with the same New Door No.1 in Street A and Street B should be selected;

next, the 2 huts with the same New Door No.2 in Street B and Street C should be selected:

next, the 2 huts with the same New Door No.4 in Street A and Street B should be selected.

If the SC allocation for this habitation is again 6 permanent houses for 2011-12, the 6 huts will be selected as follows:

First, the hut with the New Door No.5 in Street C should be selected;

next, the 2 huts with the same New Door No.8 in Street A and Street B should be selected;

next, the hut with New Door No. 10 in Street A should be selected;

next, the 2 huts with the same New Door No.11 in Street B and Street C should be selected.

**Illustration 5:** Habitation 'Y' has 25 eligible MBC huts distributed across 3 Wards as shown below –

Ward Name	No. of eligible MBC Huts	New Door numbers of the eligible huts
Ward A	7	1,4,8,11,12,14,17
Ward B	8	1,2,4,8,11,17,18,19
Ward C	10	2,5,12,13,14,17,18,19,21,25

The MBC allocation for this habitation is, say, 10 permanent houses for 2010-11.

The 10 huts will be selected in the ascending order of New Door Numbers, irrespective of the Ward in which they are located, as follows:

First, the 2 huts with the same New Door No.1 in Ward A and Ward B should be

selected:

next, the 2 huts with the same New Door No.2 in Ward B and Ward C should be selected:

next, the 2 huts with the same New Door No.4 in Ward A and Ward B should be selected:

next, the hut with the New Door No.5 in Ward C should be selected;

next, the 2 huts with the same New Door No.8 in Ward A and Ward B should be selected;

Till now, 9 huts have been selected. For the tenth hut, there is a tie between 2 huts - the huts with the same New Door No.11 in Ward A and Ward B - but only one of them can be selected.

In such a scenario, the tie should be resolved as follows:

- 1. A hut with house tax assessment number should be preferred over a hut without a house tax assessment number.
- 2. Between two huts both having house tax assessment numbers, the one with the lower house tax assessment number should be preferred.
- 3. If both huts do not have house tax assessment numbers and one of them has electricity service connection and the other has not, the one with the service connection must be given preference.
- 4. If both huts do not have house tax assessment numbers but both of them have electricity service connections, the one with an unnumbered connection under the 'Hut light Scheme' should be preferred over the one with a numbered connection; and between two numbered connections, the one with the lesser service connection number should be preferred.

Note 1: In all the 4 cases listed above, the preferred cases are invariably the older huts.

Note 2: The hut or huts left out in one financial year due to the resolution of the tie will automatically be taken first in the following financial year.

K.ASHOK VARDHAN SHETTY, Principal Secretary to Government

// True Copy //

Section Officer